#### **Technical Communication**

#### Cite

Komlódi T, Sobotka O, Gnaiger E (2021) Facts and artefacts on the oxygen dependence of hydrogen peroxide production using Amplex UltraRed. MitoFit Preprints 2021.10. doi:10.26124/mitofit:2021-0010



**Received** 2021-11-08 **Accepted** 2021-11-08

**Online** 2021-11-08 MitoFit Preprints 2021.10 doi:10.26124/mitofit:2021-0010

#### Data availability

Original files are available Open Access at Zenodo repository:

10.5281/zenodo.5643176

#### Kevwords

Amplex UltraRed, AmR Amplex UltroxRed, xRed hydrogen peroxide production H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux respiration media mitochondrial respiration medium 5, MiR05 yeast oxygen dependence reductive stress anoxia hypoxia O<sub>2</sub> kinetics respiration reoxygenation

# Facts and artefacts on the oxygen dependence of hydrogen peroxide production using Amplex UltraRed

- □Timea Komlódi¹, □Ondrej Sobotka², Erich Gnaiger<sup>1\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria
- <sup>2</sup> 3rd Department of Internal Medicine Metabolic Care and Gerontology, University Hospital Hradec Kralove, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Kralove, Charles University, Czech Republic
- \* Corresponding author: erich.gnaiger@oroboros.at

#### **Abstract**

The fluorometric Amplex UltraRed AmR assay is frequently used for quantitative assessment of hydrogen peroxide production. It is specific to  $H_2O_2$ , can be calibrated accurately, and allows continuous real-time measurement. Without correction for the background fluorescence slope, however, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>independent formation of the fluorescent product UltroxRed (or resorufin) leads to artefacts.

We analysed (1) the medium specificity of the background fluorescence slope of the AmR assay, and (2) the oxygen dependence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux in baker's yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Apparent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux,  $O_2$  concentration and  $O_2$  flux were measured simultaneously by high-resolution respirometry equipped with the fluorescence module. apparent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux of veast showed a maximum under hypoxia when incubated in Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline DPBS or KCl-medium. This hypoxic peak increased with the sequential number of normoxic-anoxic transitions. Even in the absence of yeast, the fluorescence slope increased at low O2 levels as a function of fluorescence intensity. The hypoxic peak was not observed in mitochondrial respiration medium MiR05.

1 of 20 www.mitofit.org



#### **Author contributions**

EG and OS designed the work; OS and TK collected data; OS and TK analyzed data; TK, OS, and EG wrote and revised the article.

Conflicts of interest EG is founder and CEO of

Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria. Therefore, the hypoxic peak was a medium-specific background effect unrelated to cell physiology. In MiR05,  $H_2O_2$  production of yeast decreased linearly from hyperoxia to hypoxia, with a steep decline towards anoxia. Respiration and oxygen dependence expressed as  $p_{50}$  of yeast were higher in MiR05 than DPBS. Respiration was a hyperbolic function of oxygen concentration in the low-oxygen range. The flux-dependence of oxygen affinity explained the higher  $p_{50}$  in MiR05.

# 1. Introduction

The formation of reactive oxygen species ROS is an inevitable side effect of aerobic respiration (Skulachev 1996). ROS involve several chemical species of reactive molecules derived from oxygen in redox reactions including photo- and chemiexcitation (Sies and Jones 2020). Physiologically, ROS play a vital role in many redox signaling processes such as differentiation and apoptosis (Brand 2016; Buettner et al 2013). The imbalance between generation and removal of ROS via the antioxidant systems leads to *oxidative stress*, which is accompanied by damage of proteins, lipids and nucleotides, disturbance of cell metabolism, and derangement of ROS signaling (Paniker 1970; Sies 1997; Xiao, Loscalzo 2020). According to the concept of *reductive stress*, reduced compounds — e.g. NAD(P)H and glutathione — accumulate at low oxygen levels causing high ROS production under hypoxia, inducing hypoxic oxidative stress and disturbing redox homeostasis (Aon 2010; Dawson et al 1993; Korge et al 2015; Xiao, Loscalzo 2020).

Quantification of ROS species is challenging due to their short lifetime, ranging from nanoseconds to seconds. An ideal probe for ROS measurement (1) reacts rapidly with ROS to outcompete the cellular antioxidant systems, (2) produces a stable, measurable, and quantifiable product, (3) is specific to a particular ROS species, and (4) has sufficiently high sensitivity (Dikalov, Harrison 2014). Measurement of changes in fluorescence caused by oxidation of molecular probes provides a convenient way for determination of ROS production. Most popular assays for determination of ROS production are based on Amplex UltraRed<sup>TM</sup> (AmR), dihydroethidine (DHE), and 2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA).

The AmR assay is one of the most frequently applied methods for assessing  $H_2O_2$  production.  $H_2O_2$  is the most stable form of ROS. AmR reacts with  $H_2O_2$  catalyzed by horseradish peroxidase HRP, forming the fluorescent product resorufin Res in the case of Amplex Red or Amplex UltroxRed (xRed) in the case of Amplex UltraRed. Superoxide dismutase SOD converts superoxide to  $H_2O_2$  which can freely cross biological membranes (Bienert et al 2006). According to Mohanty et al (1997) AmR does not cross biological membranes, whereas other studies show the contrary (Miwa et al 2015). Benefits of this method are (1) the high sensitivity towards  $H_2O_2$  (Mishin et al 2010; Tretter, Ambrus 2014) compared to DCFH-DA (Dikalov, Harrison 2014; Kalyanaraman et al 2012; Mohanty et al 1997), (2) the simple and accurate calibration of the fluorescence signal using  $H_2O_2$ , since the fluorescence signal (i.e. fluorescence intensity) is a linear function



of added  $H_2O_2$  concentrations up to 5  $\mu$ M (Tretter, Ambrus 2014) or up to 3  $\mu$ M resorufin (Krumschnabel et al 2015), (3) the low inhibitory effect on mitochondrial (mt) respiration compared to other fluorescence dyes used in studies of bioenergetics, e.g. safranin (Makrecka-Kuka et al 2015), and (4) instantaneous consumption of  $H_2O_2$  (less than 5-10 s; Tretter, Ambrus 2014) which makes this probe an ideal candidate for real-time and continuous measurement of  $H_2O_2$  production. Disadvantages of the AmR assay are that the fluorescent product Res or xRed may be formed by  $H_2O_2$ -independent side reactions. These side reactions can be measured as the increase over time (slope) of background fluorescence intensity in the absence of sample without addition of  $H_2O_2$ : (1) in the absence of HRP during photooxidation of AmR upon light exposure (Zhao et al 2012), and (2) in the presence of HRP as spontaneous autooxidation of AmR (Zhou et al 1997). The components of the respiration medium exert an effect on the background fluorescence slope and thus influence the  $H_2O_2$ -sensitivity of the AmR assay (Krumschnabel et al 2015; Komlódi et al 2018).

In the present study, we investigated in various respiration media (1) the background fluorescence slope of the AmR assay at different  $O_2$  concentrations, and (2) the  $O_2$  dependence of the apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux in yeast cells as a model system.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Reagents

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Carlsbad, CA, US) with exception of diethylenetriamine-N,N,N',N'',N'''-pentaacetic acid DTPA (Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH; Augsburg, Germany), Amplex UltraRed™ and Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline DPBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, US). MiR05-Kit (Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria), DPBS and KCl-based respiration medium were used for simultaneous high-resolution respirometry HRR and fluorescence measurements. Components of respiration media are listed in Table 1.

## 2.2. Yeast preparation

Commercially available freeze-dried baker's yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) was rehydrated in Na-phosphate buffer (50 mM Na<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; pH 7.1) at a concentration 20 mg/mL at 30 °C to 40 °C preserving high viability (Crowe et al 1998; Koga et al 1966). The yeast suspension was pipetted 20-times slowly and 10-times fast up and down in a 2-mL Eppendorf tube using a 1-mL pipette since the cells sediment and clump rapidly. Immediately afterwards, 20  $\mu$ L yeast suspension was injected using a 50- $\mu$ L Hamilton syringe into the O2k-chamber through the titration capillary of the stopper. The experimental concentration of yeast was 0.2 mg/mL unless otherwise indicated.

## 2.3. High-resolution respirometry

O<sub>2</sub> concentration and xRed fluorescence were measured simultaneously using the O2k-FluoRespirometer (Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria). The Oroboros O2k continuously monitors the O<sub>2</sub> concentration and plots in real-time the O<sub>2</sub> consumption of



the biological sample. The O2k consists of two instrumental chambers which are designed to perform unlimited titrations during the experimental assay. All experiments were performed under constant stirring (750 rpm) in pre-calibrated 2-mL chambers. Polarographic oxygen sensor POS tests including air calibration (every experimental day) and monthly instrumental  $O_2$  background tests including zero calibration of the POS were performed routinely as instrumental quality control (Doerrier et al 2018; Gnaiger 2001; 2008). The oxygen solubility of the medium at 37 °C was 9.72  $\mu$ M/kPa for conversion of partial pressure to  $O_2$  concentration equivalent to the  $O_2$  solubility factor of 0.92. The volume-specific oxygen flux  $J_{V_2O_2}$  was calculated as the negative time derivative of the  $O_2$  concentration by DatLab 7.4. The  $O_2$  flux was corrected for instrumental  $O_2$  background flux  $J_2O_2$ .

Sequential anoxia-reoxygenation cycles were performed to measure ROUTINE respiration in DPBS, KCl-medium or MiR05 without addition of external fuel substrates. Reoxygenations were performed by opening the chamber to the stopper-spacer position to obtain a well-defined gas phase above the aqueous phase ('open' chamber). To decrease the  $O_2$  concentration, nitrogen gas was injected with a 60-mL syringe into the gas phase obtained in the open chamber. The chambers were closed when approaching the required  $O_2$  level.

**Table 1. Composition of respiration media with concentrations [mM].** DPBS: Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline; MiR05 (Gnaiger et al 2000); KCl-medium (Hoffman et al 2007).

	MiR05	DPBS	KCl-medium
sucrose	110	-	25
K-lactobionate	60	-	-
K-HEPES	20	-	-
taurine	20	-	-
KCl	-	2.68	125
$K_2HPO_4$	10	1.42	5
$MgCl_2$	3	-	5
NaCl	-	136.89	-
Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> •7H <sub>2</sub> O	-	8.06	-
EGTA	0.5	-	-
BSA [mg/mL]	1	-	0.5
рН	7.1 (KOH; 30 °C)	7.0-7.3 (KOH, HCl; 24 °C)	7.4 (KOH, HCl; 24 °C)

#### 2.4. Oxygen kinetics

Oxygen kinetics is assessed in a closed chamber during normoxic-anoxic transitions when the  $O_2$  concentration decreases to zero (Gnaiger et al 1995; Gnaiger 2001). The oxygen concentration at which  $O_2$  flux is reduced to  $S_0$  % is the kinetic parameter  $C_0$  [ $L_0$ ] or  $C_0$  [ $L_0$ ] calculated from the hyperbolic fit comparable to Michaelis-Menten kinetics. The maximum enzyme reaction velocity  $V_{\rm max}$  at saturating substrate concentration corresponds to pathway flux  $I_{\rm max}$  in mitochondria or cells. Oxygen kinetics was measured



in freeze-dried baker's yeast in DPBS and MiR05 at 37 °C in the ROUTINE state without exogenous substrates. Importantly,  $J_{V,02}$  was corrected for instrumental  $O_2$  background. Zero oxygen calibrations were obtained after normoxic-anoxic transitions. The first-order exponential time constant  $\tau$  of the POS was determined by stirrer tests at  $\tau$  = 2.9 s for signal deconvolution (Gnaiger 2001). The data recording interval of 2 s was sufficient for resolution of  $O_2$  kinetics at low  $O_2$  affinity of yeast cells. Calculations were performed automatically by an  $O_2$  kinetics software (Python; Doerrier et al 2018).

## 2.5. Hydrogen peroxide flux

Fluorescence was measured using Smart Fluo-Sensors Green (Oroboros Instruments; excitation 525 nm, emission  ${\sim}600$  nm). Sensors were inserted through the front window of the O2k-chambers. Horseradish peroxidase HRP (1 U/mL) and superoxide dismutase SOD (5 U/mL) were titrated into the chamber before Amplex UltraRed® AmR (10  $\mu$ M). The iron chelator DTPA (15  $\mu$ M) was applied to decrease the background fluorescence slope of the AmR assay (Komlódi et al 2018). DPBS(+), KCl-medium(+), and MiR05(+) contained DTPA; DPBS(-), KCl-medium(-), and MiR05(-) did not contain DTPA. The excitation light intensity was set at 500 mV except when indicated otherwise.

AmR reacts with  $H_2O_2$  forming the fluorescent dye xRed. The fluorescence intensity (proportional to the fluorescence signal) emitted in the AmR assay was calibrated by 0.1- $\mu$ M  $H_2O_2$  titrations. At a gain setting of 1000, the amperometric raw signal of 1  $\mu$ A is converted to 1 V. Multiple  $H_2O_2$  calibrations were performed at different states of the protocol to quantify the sensitivity of the AmR assay over time and experimental conditions (Komlódi et al 2018). The fluorescence slope is calculated as the non-linear time derivate of the signal by DatLab 7.4.

## 2.6. Background fluorescence slope at air saturation

In contrast to an instrumental background effect, the chemical background fluorescence slope of the AmR assay increased over time in DPBS and KCl-medium at constant normoxic  $O_2$  concentration near air saturation ( $\sim 180 \, \mu M$ ; Figure 1a and b). The background fluorescence slope showed deviations from linearity above  $\sim 5$ -6  $\mu A$  fluorescence intensity in DPBS and KCl-medium. This can be explained by (1) the decreasing concentration of available AmR, and (2) the accumulation of xRed (or Res) over the course of the experiment leading to allosteric inhibition of HRP (Piwonski et al 2012). In MiR05, however, a moderate and linear increase of the background fluorescence slope over time was observed likely due to the antioxidant properties of the medium (Figure 1c). The background fluorescence slope  $J_{\text{amp},BGr}$  [ $\text{nA}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ] was a hyperbolic function of fluorescence intensity  $I_{\text{amp}}$  in KCl-medium (Figure 1d) but a linear function of  $I_{\text{amp}}$  in MiR05 with slope  $b_{\text{amp}}$  and intercept  $a_{\text{amp}}$  (Figure 1e),

MiR05: 
$$J_{\text{amp,BGr}} = b_{\text{amp}} \cdot I_{\text{amp}} + a_{\text{amp}}$$
 Eq.1

 $b_{\text{amp}}$  and  $a_{\text{amp}}$  were determined for each Lot of MiR05-Kit.






Figure 1. Background fluorescence slope in the Amplex UltraRed assay in different respiration media at constant  $\mathbf{0}_2$ near concentration air saturation ( $\sim 170$ -180 uM) in presence of DTPA (+). (a) DPBS: (b) KClmedium; (c) MiR05 (Lot#0915). Black plots: background fluorescence signal related to fluorescence intensity [μA]; green background plots: fluorescence slope [nA·s-1]. Background fluorescence slope [nA's-1] as a function of fluorescence signal  $[\mu A]$ (d) KClin medium and (e) MiR<sub>0</sub>5 (Lot#0915); each colour represents a separate experiment.

## 3. Results

Respiration (Figure 2a-c) and xRed fluorescence (Figure 2d-i) were measured in a sequence of normoxic-anoxic transitions. When rehydrated, freeze-dried yeast rapidly restored active metabolism (Crowe et al 1998). In DPBS and KCl-medium the apparent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux increased with decreasing O<sub>2</sub> concentration resulting in a *hypoxic peak* of the fluorescence slope before it declined towards anoxia (Figure 2d and e). The hypoxic peak became increasingly prominent following each reoxygenation after anoxia. In MiRO5, however, the hypoxic peak was not observed, but the apparent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux declined continuously with decreasing O<sub>2</sub> concentration in a biphasic kinetic O<sub>2</sub> dependence (Figure 2f). How can these contradictory observations be explained? Is the hypoxic peak



related to acclimatization to  $O_2$  availability and  $O_2$  sensing of yeast in different buffers, or is it the result of a methodological artefact due to the interplay between respiration medium and the AmR assay?




Figure 2. Amplex UltraRed assay and high-resolution respirometry in repeated normoxic-anoxic transitions in yeast measured in DPBS(+) (a, d, g), KCl-medium(+) (b, e, h), and MiR05(+) (c, f, i) with DTPA. Blue plots: O<sub>2</sub> concentration [μM] decreasing due to respiration; brief periods of anoxia were followed by reoxygenations. (a, b, c) Volume-specific O<sub>2</sub> flux [pmol·s<sup>-1</sup>·mL<sup>-1</sup>]; (d, e, f) non-calibrated fluorescence slope (raw) [nA·s<sup>-1</sup>]; (g, h, i) non-calibrated (raw) fluorescence signal (proportional to fluorescence intensity) [μA]. One representative trace (b, e, h) or technical repeats recorded in parallel in four different chambers (a, c, d, f, g, i). Experiments 2018-12-06\_P3-02, 2018-12-06\_P4-02, 2018-12-06\_P1-01, 2018-12-06\_P2-01, 2017-04-18\_P7-02A.

#### 3.1. Hypoxic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> peak: fact or artefact?

The fluorescence signal increases over time owing to the accumulation of xRed originating from AmR due to (1) titrations of  $H_2O_2$  during assay calibrations, (2) extracellular  $H_2O_2$  production by yeast, and (3) artificial  $H_2O_2$ -independent increase of background fluorescence (Figure 2g-i). To elucidate the origin of the hypoxic peak in DPBS and KCl-medium, we analysed the effect of fluorescence intensity (proportional to the fluorescence signal) on the apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux. To differentiate between the effects of the  $O_2$  regime and exposure time on  $H_2O_2$  production by yeast and the effect of fluorescence intensity, we performed parallel experiments: the control group (C) with initial titration of AmR before adding yeast cells, and the experimental group (E) with delayed addition of AmR to yeast cells (Figure 3). Consistent with results shown in Figure 2d and e, the hypoxic peak was observed during the normoxic-anoxic transitions and



increased after each sequential reoxygenation in the controls (1C to 6C; Figure 3a and b). The hypoxic peak 4C was already highly pronounced. When AmR was added not at the start but only before transition 4E in the experimental group, however, the hypoxic peak 4E was comparable or even less pronounced than the hypoxic peak 1C in the control (Figure 3). Before transition 5E, titration of 0.8  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> increased the fluorescence intensity which resulted in a hypoxic peak 5E of the same extent as 5C in the control. Importantly, O<sub>2</sub> flux did not differ in between the two parallel experimental regimes using yeast from the same batch (Figure 3a and c). These results suggest that the hypoxic peak observed in DPBS at low O<sub>2</sub> concentration was related to artificial background fluorescence intensity, excluding a redox response of yeast cells as an acclimatization to the O<sub>2</sub> regime.




Figure 3. Effect of accumulating concentrations of xRed on the hypoxic peak of the fluorescence slopes in repeated normoxic-anoxic transitions in yeast incubated in DPBS(+) containing DTPA. Blue plots:  $O_2$  concentration [ $\mu$ M] decreasing due to respiration; brief periods of anoxia were followed by reoxygenation. Red plots: volume-specific  $O_2$  flux [pmol's-1'mL-1]; black plots: non-calibrated fluorescence signal (proportional to fluorescence intensity) [ $\mu$ A]; green plots: non-calibrated (raw) fluorescence slope [nA·s-1]. 1C to 6C and 1E to 6E: normoxic-anoxic transitions. (a, b) Control: AmR titrated before addition of yeast; (c, d) Experimental group: AmR titrated immediately before 4E. The fluorescence intensity was increased by titration of 0.8  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> before 5E. Experiment 2018-12-19 P8-02.






Figure 4. Oxygen dependence of the background fluorescence slope in the Amplex UltraRed assay. (a) DPBS(-); (b) KCl-medium(+); (c) MiR05(+). Blue plots:  $O_2$  concentration [ $\mu$ M] was decreased with  $N_2$  followed by increase of the  $O_2$  concentration in several steps (1-15 and 1-12). Green plots: background fluorescence slope (raw) [ $nA \cdot s^{-1}$ ]. The background fluorescence intensity (raw) [ $\mu$ A] is shown at low  $O_2$  concentration (values shown in red) and at high  $O_2$  concentration (values shown in black). Dotted lines: pronounced increase of the apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux at low  $O_2$  concentration as a function of the fluorescence intensity [ $\mu$ A] (values shown in red); less pronounced increase of the apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux at high  $O_2$  concentration as a function of fluorescence intensity (values shown in black).

# 3.2. Background fluorescence slope as a function of O<sub>2</sub> concentration

The background fluorescence slope is a result of artificial formation of Res (or xRed) independent of the biological sample, which depends on the respiration medium and excitation light intensity (Krumschnabel et al 2015; Zhao et al 2012). We investigated the background fluorescence slope in the AmR assay at different  $O_2$  concentrations in DPBS, KCl-medium and MiR05 decreasing the  $O_2$  level by  $N_2$  gas injection followed by stepwise



elevation of  $O_2$  concentration up to air-saturation ( $\sim 180~\mu\text{M}$ ; Figure 4). In DPBS and KClmedium (1) the fluorescence slope was high at low  $O_2$  concentration and decreased with increasing  $O_2$  concentration, (2) the fluorescence slope increased over time at the same  $O_2$  concentration, and (3) the increase of fluorescence intensity over time was more pronounced at low  $O_2$  concentration than at high  $O_2$  concentration (fluorescence signals shown by red and black values [ $\mu$ A], respectively, in Figure 4a and b). In MiR05, however, the background fluorescence slope (1) increased only slightly from low to high  $O_2$  concentrations, (2) did not change over time at the same  $O_2$  concentration, and (3) the final fluorescence intensity of 1.7  $\mu$ A in MiR05 was lower compared to DPBS and KClmedium (Figure 4c).

We further investigated the fluorescence slope in the AmR assay with DPBS and MiR05 in the absence and presence of yeast (Figure 5). In DPBS a hyperbolic relationship was observed between the background fluorescence slope and fluorescence intensity (Figure 5a). The same pattern was observed at excitation light intensities of 500 mV (Figure 5a) and 250 mV (Figure 5g). The background fluorescence slope increased with decreasing  $O_2$  concentration (Figure 5b). Moreover, the hypoxic peaks observed with yeast cells in the reoxygenation cycles matched the pattern of the background fluorescence slope in DPBS. The hypoxic peaks in the presence of yeast occurred at  $O_2$  concentrations in the range of 5  $\mu$ M to 10  $\mu$ M which were below the  $O_2$  levels obtained in the chemical background measurements. This shows that the hypoxic peaks were indistinguishable from the background fluorescence slope in DPBS.

In MiR05 the background fluorescence slope was low compared to DPBS (Figure 5c and d). An increase of the background fluorescence slope with fluorescence intensity becomes apparent at enlarged scales (Figure 5e). The  $O_2$  concentration exerted only a subtle effect on the background fluorescence slope (zoom in Figure 5f). The fluorescence slope measured in the presence of yeast at high  $O_2$  concentrations was higher than the background fluorescence slope and it decreased at low  $O_2$  levels overlapping with the background fluorescence slope. The background-corrected fluorescence slopes, therefore, indicate a decline of extracellular  $H_2O_2$  flux from high to low  $O_2$  concentration.

#### 3.3. Background correction

In DPBS, the high background fluorescence slope overlapping with the experimental fluorescence slope in the presence of yeast made it impossible to apply a meaningful background correction.

For experiments in MiR05, we calculated the background flux  $J_{amp,BG}$  applying the following step-wise background corrections.

- 1. Linear dependence on fluorescence intensity  $I_{amp}$  [ $\mu$ A] measured at the reference  $O_2$  concentration [ $O_2$ ]<sub>r</sub> which was close to air saturation (Figure 1e, Table 2).
- 2. Linear dependence on  $O_2$  concentration (Figure 5f) described by the oxygen correction factor  $F_{02}$ ,  $[O_2]_e$  is the experimental  $O_2$  concentration at a given respiratory state,

$$F_{02} = (0.0002 \cdot [O_2]_e + 0.067) / (0.0002 \cdot [O_2]_r + 0.067)$$
 Eq.2






Figure 5. Oxygen dependence of the fluorescence slope (apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux) in the Amplex UltraRed assay in DPBS(+) and MiRO5(+). (a) DPBS(+): Background fluorescence slope (raw) [nA·s<sup>-1</sup>] as a function of fluorescence signal (proportional to fluorescence intensity) [V]. Colors indicate data at constant  $O_2$  concentrations shown by numbers [ $\mu$ M] measured over time. Lines are fitted by nonlinear regression;  $r^2$ =0.95-0.99. (b) DPBS(+): Background fluorescence slope [nA·s<sup>-1</sup>] (open grey symbols) at

constant  $O_2$  concentrations (from panel a) as a function of  $O_2$  concentration [ $\mu$ M] and fluorescence slope [ $nA \cdot s^{-1}$ ] at the peak in the presence of yeast at low (red circle) and high (black circle)  $O_2$  concentrations in the reoxygenation cycles (closed symbols). Colors distinguish peaks (1-4) in the first to fourth transitions. Identical symbols indicate data from an individual assay (technical repeats; n=4). For representative trace, see Figure 2d. Each line is fitted by nonlinear regression through data points at constant fluorescence signal;  $r^2=0.96-0.99$ . Colors of lines refer to the similar fluorescence signal as measured in the transition peaks. (c) MiR05(+): Background fluorescence slope (raw) [ $nA \cdot s^{-1}$ ] as a function of fluorescence signal [V] measured at different  $O_2$  concentrations [ $\mu$ M] as shown in Figure 2f. Each colour represents a separate experiment. (d) MiR05(+): Background fluorescence slope [ $nA \cdot s^{-1}$ ] (open grey symbols) as a function of  $O_2$  concentration [ $\mu$ M] and non-calibrated fluorescence slope measured at low (red circle) and high  $O_2$  (black



circle) concentrations in the presence of yeast in the first to fourth (1-4) transitions distinguished by colors. Line is fitted by linear regression, equation of the plot;  $r^2$ =0.80. Identical symbols indicate data from an individual assay (technical repeats; n=4). **(e)** Zoom into panel **c**. **(f)** Zoom into panel **d**. **(g)** DPBS(-) without DTPA: Oxygen dependence of the background fluorescence slope at excitation light intensity 250 mV;  $r^2$ =0.95-0.99. Compare with panel **a** at excitation light intensity 500 mV and DPBS(+).

3. Oxygen-adjusted background fluorescence slope *J*<sub>amp,BG</sub> [nA·s<sup>-1</sup>],

$$J_{\text{amp,BG}} = J_{\text{amp,BGr}} \cdot F_{02}$$
 Eq.3

4. Background-corrected experimental fluorescence slope  $J_{amp,corr}$  [nA·s<sup>-1</sup>] based on the experimental fluorescence slope in the presence of sample  $J_{amp}$  [nA·s<sup>-1</sup>] at [O<sub>2</sub>]<sub>e</sub>,

$$J_{\text{amp,corr}} = J_{\text{amp}} - J_{\text{amp,BG}}$$
 Eq.4

5. Calibration for  $H_2O_2$ -sensitivity [ $\mu A \cdot \mu M^{-1}$ ] determined from  $H_2O_2$  calibrations (Komlódi et al 2018) to obtain extracellular  $H_2O_2$  flux  $J_{H_2O_2}$  [pmol·s<sup>-1</sup>·mL<sup>-1</sup>],

$$J_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2} = J_{\text{amp,corr}} / \text{sensitivity}$$
 Eq.5

**Table 2. Background parameters for different Lots of MiR05-Kit.** Assays with DTPA. Slope  $b_{amp}$  and intercept  $a_{amp}$  (Eq.1; Materials and methods).

Lot #	$m{b}_{amp}$	<b>a</b> amp
0915	0.0082	0.1159
18.02872	-0.0198	0.0914
19.01689	-0.0116	0.0868
20J01923	-0.0348	0.1248


#### 3.4. O<sub>2</sub> kinetics of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux and O<sub>2</sub> flux

 $O_2$  consumption and xRed fluorescence slope (apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux) were measured simultaneously in repeated normoxic-anoxic transitions in yeast cells in MiR05 and DPBS (Figure 6 and 7). During the first transition the cells acclimatized to experimental conditions immediately after rehydration. At normoxic  $O_2$  concentration yeast respiration stabilized during the following reoxygenation cycles (Figure 2a, 2c and 3). Therefore, we analysed the second transitions (Figure 6a and b).

Respiration was a complex function of  $O_2$  concentration in the high  $O_2$  regime including factors of time and non-mitochondrial  $O_2$  consumption (Gnaiger et al 1995). A zoom into the low  $O_2$  range reveals first-order hyperbolic kinetics (Figure 6c). The maximum kinetic  $O_2$  flux ( $J_{max}$ ) varied as a function of respiration media and the number of normoxic-anoxic transitions (experimental exposure time).  $J_{max}$  was calculated as a parameter of the hyperbolic fit indicating  $O_2$  flux at high, non-limiting  $O_2$  concentrations (see Materials and methods). The  $p_{50}$  was about four times higher than the  $p_{50}$  of isolated mitochondria and small mammalian cells, indicating the effect of intracellular diffusion gradients in the yeast cells (Gnaiger 2003; Scandurra, Gnaiger 2010).  $c_{50}$  ( $p_{50}$ ) varied as a function of  $J_{max}$  (Figure 6d) consistent with the concept of kinetic electron trapping by




cytochrome c oxidase (Verkhovsky et al 1996; Gnaiger 2001). This indicates that the incubation medium did not exert any specific effect on respiratory  $O_2$  kinetics. In contrast, there was a dramatic difference in the dependence of apparent  $H_2O_2$  flux on  $O_2$  concentration in different media. In DPBS a sharp hypoxic peak of  $J_{amp}$  was observed at low  $O_2$  concentration (uncorrected; Figure 6b). In MiRO5, however, background-corrected  $J_{H_2O_2}$  remained low and was a linear function of  $O_2$  concentration in the hyperoxic to hypoxic range (Figure 6a). A higher resolution of  $H_2O_2$  flux was obtained in a separate batch of yeast which showed increased respiration and  $H_2O_2$  flux near air saturation (Figure 7). The  $H_2O_2$  flux was a biphasic function of  $O_2$  concentration, with a linear decline in the normoxic to hypoxic range and a steep decline of  $H_2O_2$  flux in the microoxic range when respiration was limited by  $O_2$  concentration.



**Figure 6. O**<sub>2</sub> **flux and H**<sub>2</sub>**O**<sub>2</sub> **flux as a function of O**<sub>2</sub> **concentration in yeast cells (37** °**C). (a)** MiR05(+); **(b)** DPBS(+); second normoxic-anoxic transitions in the ROUTINE state in four technical repeats. Data are from Figure 2d and f. Red plots: mass-specific O<sub>2</sub> flux [pmol·s··mg·¹]; **(a)** green plots: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux is shown after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> calibration performed at ~ 150 μM O<sub>2</sub>; **(b)** fluorescence slope  $J_{amp}$ ; **(c)** O<sub>2</sub> kinetic plot of respiration with zoom into the low O<sub>2</sub> concentration range. Volume-specific O<sub>2</sub> flux  $J_{V,O2}$  [pmol·s···mL·¹] as a function of O<sub>2</sub> concentration and partial oxygen pressure  $p_{O2}$  [kPa] in MiR05. Dots show individual data points measured at 2-s time intervals. Blue line: hyperbolic fit. Experiment 2018-12-06\_P4-02A from Figure 2c, second transition. **(d)**  $c_{50}$  [μM] and  $p_{50}$  [kPa] as a function of maximum volume-specific O<sub>2</sub> flux  $J_{max}$  at identical yeast concentrations in MiR05 and DPBS for the first to fourth transitions. Colors distinguish peaks (1-6) in the first to fourth transitions.





green plots: volume-specific H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux [pmol·s<sup>-1</sup>·mL<sup>-1</sup>] in chambers A and B. (c) Massspecific H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> flux [pmol·s<sup>-1</sup>·mg<sup>-1</sup>] from panel **b** corrected for background fluorescence slope. Shaded area indicates the low-oxygen range when respiration declined as a hyperbolic function of oxygen (Figure 6). Experiment 2016-03-03 P12-02.

200

#### 4. Discussion

50

100

O<sub>2</sub> concentration / [μM]

150

Besides H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-independent formation of xRed (or Res), several potential methodological artefacts are discussed in the literature related to the metabolites or enzyme activities in the biological sample. (1) Res can undergo a one-electron reduction to form a semiquinoneimine-type radical which regenerates AmR and superoxide anion by NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase in liver microsomes (Dutton et al 1989). (2) Complex I can initiate cycling of oxidized and reduced Res in the presence of NADH and other reductants (Grivennikova et al 2018). (3) HRP can catalyze the oxidation of Res in

Light green and purple plots: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-

equivalent concentration [µM]; red and



the presence of peroxynitrite, and peroxynitrite-derived radicals can oxidize AmR to Res (Debski et al 2016). (4) In liver and kidney, AmR can be converted to Res/xRed by mt-carboxylesterases mtCES which can be prevented using mtCES inhibitors such as phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (Miwa et al 2015). These side-effects can be practically excluded in our experiments with living yeast. We added SOD to all AmR assays to not only convert superoxide to  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2$  but to minimize formation of Res (or xRed) in a photosensitized reaction with NADH and reduced glutathione (Votyakova, Reynold 2004; Zhao et al 2011, 2012).




Figure 8. UltroxRed (xRed) formation in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-independent reactions in the absence of biological sample. xRed is formed in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the AmR-HRP reaction contributing to the background fluorescence slope. Excitation light can initiate xRed generation which induces further xRed formation via photooxidation of AmR in a self-amplification process. These phenomena and the decrease of O<sub>2</sub>

concentration result in the increase of the background fluorescence signal which is scavenged by the antioxidants in MiR05 thus preventing the hypoxic peak.

In the present study we investigated the  $O_2$  dependence of extracellular  $H_2O_2$  flux in yeast. In DPBS and KCl-medium, a hypoxic peak was observed at low  $O_2$  concentration, which increased with the sequential number of reoxygenations and normoxic-anoxic transitions. Theoretically this increase of the fluorescence slope might indicate  $H_2O_2$  formation triggered by reductive stress at low  $O_2$  concentrations and hypoxic preconditioning (Hernansanz-Agustín et al 2014; Smith et al 2017). However, the hypoxic peak could be explained entirely as background fluorescence slope. The hypoxic peak is a methodological artefact caused by autooxidation of AmR at increasing fluorescence intensity and under hypoxia in the range of 5  $\mu$ M to 10  $\mu$ M  $O_2$  corresponding to 2.5 % to 5 % air saturation (Figure 8).

MiR05 is optimized for assessment of respiration during prolonged incubation times to preserve mitochondrial function (Gnaiger et al 2000). The sensitivity of the AmR assay is more stable in MiR05 than in DPBS, KCl-medium, and buffer Z in experiments up to 2 h (Komlódi et al 2018). This was confirmed in the present background experiments at air saturation (Figure 1). Importantly, MiR05 prevented the artefact of the hypoxic peak (Figure 4 and 5). This might be explained by the high antioxidant capacity of MiR05 (Figure 8; Gnaiger et al 2000). However, preliminary results suggest that the artefact of the hypoxic peak does neither occur in 50 mM phosphate buffer, at higher yeast concentration (1.5 mg/mL), low excitation light intensity (100 mV), and lower temperature (28 °C; Supplement Figure S1). In agreement with Li Puma et al (2020), a linear relationship was observed between O2 concentration and background fluorescence slope in the AmR assay measured in MiR05. This provides the basis for correction for



background fluorescence slope and evaluation of the  $O_2$  dependence of  $H_2O_2$  flux. Importantly, the  $H_2O_2$  flux of yeast in MiR05 was linearly dependent on  $O_2$  concentration;  $H_2O_2$  flux did not increase at low  $O_2$  concentration even after multiple normoxic-anoxic transitions (Figure 2 and 7). This observation is in line with studies by Boveris and Chance (1973), Duong et al (2020), Li Puma et al (2020), Robb et al (2018), Stepanova et al (2017, 2018a, 2018b, 2020), and Szibor et al (2020) showing a linear increase of  $H_2O_2$  production with  $O_2$  concentration. These results, however, contrast with the concept of reductive stress and elevated hypoxic  $H_2O_2$  generation (Chandel et al 1998; Guzy et al 2007; Hernansanz-Augustin et al 2014; Waypa et al 2001). The viability of CuZnSOD null mutants of *S. cerevisiae* is compromised at normoxia but not at low aeration levels (Longo et al 1996), consistent with decreased ROS production under hypoxia corresponding to intracellular oxygen pressures of mammalian cells in tissues.

#### **Conclusions**

In studies of  $H_2O_2$  flux as a function of  $O_2$  concentration using the AmR assay, the respiration medium MiR05 offers advantages compared with DPBS and KCl-medium. An apparent maximum of  $H_2O_2$  production under hypoxia was explained as chemical background-related artefact in DPBS and KCl-medium. The background fluorescence slope and its  $O_2$  dependence are minimized in MiR05, allowing for accurate background correction. Under these conditions, extracellular  $H_2O_2$  flux of living yeast showed a biphasic oxygen dependence.  $H_2O_2$  flux decreased abruptly towards anoxia when respiration showed a hyperbolic dependence on  $O_2$  concentration. Above this critical  $O_2$  concentration,  $H_2O_2$  flux increased linearly from hypoxia to hyperoxia at constant respiration.

#### **Abbreviations**

$a_{ m amp}$	intercept	$J_{ m amp,corr}$	background-corrected
AmR	Amplex UltraRed		experimental fluorescence slope
$b_{ m amp}$	slope	$J_{ m H2O2}$	hydrogen peroxide flux
DPBS	Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered	$J_{ m max}$	maximum volume-specific
	Saline		oxygen flux
DCFH	2´,7´-dichlorofluorescein	$J_{ m V,O2}$	volume-specific oxygen flux
DHE	dihydroethidine	$I_{ m amp}$	fluorescence intensity
DTPA	diethylentriamin-N,N,N',N'',N'''-	KCl	potassium-chloride
	pentaacetic acid	mtCES	mt-carboxylesterases
$c_{50}$	oxygen concentration at which	$[O_2]_e$	experimental oxygen
	respiratory flux is 50 % of $J_{\rm max}$		concentration
ETS	electron transfer system	$[O_2]_r$	reference oxygen concentration
$F_{\rm O2}$	oxygen correction factor	p	oxygen partial pressure [kPa]
HRP	horseradish peroxidase	$p_{50}$	oxygen partial pressure at which
$H_2O_2$	hydrogen peroxide		respiratory flux is 50 % of $J_{\rm max}$
$J_{ m amp}$	experimental fluorescence slope	Res	resorufin
$J_{ m amp,BG}$	oxygen-adjusted background	ROS	reactive oxygen species
	fluorescence slope	SOD	superoxide dismutase
$J_{ m amp,BGr}$	raw background fluorescence	xRed	UltroxRed
	slope		



## **Acknowledgements**

We thank Manuela Passrugger, Marco Di Marcello for excellent technical support in buffer preparation, and maintenance of the O2ks, Marco Di Marcello for analysis of the  $p_{50}$  data, and Carolina Doerrier for valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was partially funded by project NextGen-O2k which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement Nº 859770. Ondrej Sobotka's secondments were founded by PROGRES Q40/02.

## References

- Aon MA, Cortassa S, O'Rourke B (2010) Redox-optimized ROS balance: a unifying hypothesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1797:865-77.
- Bienert GP, Schjoerring JK, Jahn TP (2006) Membrane transport of hydrogen peroxide. Biochim Biophys Acta 1758:994-1003.
- Boveris A, Chance B (1973) The mitochondrial generation of hydrogen peroxide. General properties and effect of hyperbaric oxygen. Biochem J 134:707-16.
- Brand MD (2016) Mitochondrial generation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide as the source of mitochondrial redox signaling. Free Radical Biol Med 100:14-31.
- Buettner GR, Wagner BA, Rodgers VG (2013) Quantitative redox biology: an approach to understand the role of reactive species in defining the cellular redox environment. Cell Biochem Biophys 67:477-83.
- Chandel NS, Maltepe E, Goldwasser E, Mathieu CE, Simon MC, Schumacker PT (1998) Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species trigger hypoxia-induced transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci 95:11715-20.
- Crowe HJ, Carpenter FJ, Crowe M (1998) The role of vitrification in anhydrobiosis. Annu Rev Physiol 60:73-103.
- Dawson TL, Gores GJ, Nieminen AL, Herman B, Lemasters JJ (1993) Mitochondria as a source of reactive oxygen species during reductive stress in rat hepatocytes. Am J Physiol 264:C961-7.
- Dębski D, Smulik R, Zielonka J, Michałowski B, Jakubowska M, Dębowska K, Adamus J, Marcinek A, Kalyanaraman B, Sikora A (2016) Mechanism of oxidative conversion of Amplex® Red to resorufin: pulse radiolysis and enzymatic studies. Free Radic Biol Med 95:323-32.
- Dikalov SI, Harrison DG (2014) Methods for detection of mitochondrial and cellular reactive oxygen species. Antioxid Redox Signal 20:372–82.
- Doerrier C, Garcia-Souza LF, Krumschnabel G, Wohlfarter Y, Mészáros AT, Gnaiger E (2018) High-Resolution FluoRespirometry and OXPHOS protocols for human cells, permeabilized fibers from small biopsies of muscle, and isolated mitochondria. Methods Mol Biol 1782:31-70.
- Duong QV, Hoffman A, Zhong K, Dessinger MJ, Zhang Y, Bazil JN (2020) Calcium overload decreases net free radical emission in cardiac mitochondria. Mitochondrion 51:126-39.
- Dutton DR, Reed GA, Parkinson A (1989) Redox cycling of resorufin catalyzed by rat liver microsomal NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase. Arch Biochem Biophys 268:605-16.
- Gnaiger E (2001) Bioenergetics at low oxygen: dependence of respiration and phosphorylation on oxygen and adenosine diphosphate supply. Respir Physiol 128:277-97.
- Gnaiger E (2003) Oxygen conformance of cellular respiration. A perspective of mitochondrial physiology. Adv Exp Med Biol 543:39-55.
- Gnaiger E (2008) Polarographic oxygen sensors, the oxygraph and high-resolution respirometry to assess mitochondrial function. In: Mitochondrial dysfunction in drug-induced toxicity (Dykens JA, Will Y, eds) John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ:327-52.
- Gnaiger E, Kuznetsov AV, Schneeberger S, Seiler R, Brandacher G, Steurer W, Margreiter R (2000) Mitochondria in the cold. In: Life in the Cold (Heldmaier G, Klingenspor M, eds) Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg:431-42.
- Gnaiger E, Steinlechner-Maran R, Méndez G, Eberl T, Margreiter R (1995) Control of mitochondrial and cellular respiration by oxygen. J Bioenerg Biomembr 27:583-96.
- Grivennikova VG, Kareyeva AV, Vinogradov AD (2018) Oxygen-dependence of mitochondrial ROS production as detected by Amplex Red assay. Redox Biol 17:192-9.



- Guzy RD, Mack MM, Schumacker PT (2007) Mitochondrial complex III is required for hypoxia-induced ROS production and gene transcription in yeast. Antioxid Redox Signal 9:1317-28.
- Hernansanz-Agustín P, Izquierdo-Álvarez A, Sánchez-Gómez FJ, Ramos E, Villa-Piña T, Lamas S, Bogdanova A, Martínez-Ruiz A (2014) Acute hypoxia produces a superoxide burst in cells. Free Radic Biol Med 71:146-56.
- Hoffman DL, Salter JD, Brookes PS (2007) Response of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species generation to steady-state oxygen tension: implications for hypoxic cell signaling. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 292:H101-8.
- Kalyanaraman B, Darley-Usmar V, Davies KJA, Dennery PA, Forman HJ, Grisham MB, Mann GE, Moore K, Roberts LJ 2nd, Ischiropoulos H (2012) Measuring reactive oxygen and nitrogen species with fluorescent probes: challenges and limitations. Free Radical Biol Med 52:1-6.
- Koga S, Echigo A, Nunomura K (1966) Physical properties of cell water in partially dried *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biophys J 6:665-74.
- Komlodi T, Sobotka O, Krumschnabel G, Bezuidenhout N, Hiller E, Doerrier C, Gnaiger E (2018) Comparison of mitochondrial incubation media for measurement of respiration and hydrogen peroxide production. Methods Mol Biol 1782:137-55.
- Korge P, Calmettes G, Weiss JN (2015) Increased reactive oxygen species production during reductive stress: the roles of mitochondrial glutathione and thioredoxin reductases. Biochim Biophys Acta 1847:514–25.
- Krumschnabel G, Fontana-Ayoub M, Sumbalova Z, Heidler J, Gauper K, Fasching M, Gnaiger E (2015) Simultaneous high-resolution measurement of mitochondrial respiration and hydrogen peroxide production. Methods Mol Biol 1264:245-61.
- Li Puma LC, Hedges M, Heckman JM, Mathias AB, Engstrom MR, Brown AB, Chicco AJ (2020) Experimental oxygen concentration influences rates of mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide release from cardiac and skeletal muscle preparations. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 318:R972-80.
- Longo VD, Gralla EB, Valentine JS (1996) Superoxide dismutase activity is essential for stationary phase survival in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mitochondrial production of toxic oxygen species in vivo. J Biol Chem 271:12275-80.
- Makrecka-Kuka M, Krumschnabel G, Gnaiger E (2015) High-resolution respirometry for simultaneous measurement of oxygen and hydrogen peroxide fluxes in permeabilized cells, tissue homogenate and isolated mitochondria. Biomolecules 5:1319-38.
- Mishin V, Gray JP, Heck DE, Laskin DL, Laskin JD (2010) Application of the Amplex red/horseradish peroxidase assay to measure hydrogen peroxide generation by recombinant microsomal enzymes. Free Radic Biol Med 48:1485-91.
- Miwa S, Treumann A, Bell A, Vistoli G, Nelson G, Hay S, von Zglinicki T (2015) Carboxylesterase converts Amplex red to resorufin: Implications for mitochondrial  $H_2O_2$  release assays. Free Radic Biol Med 90:173-83.
- Mohanty JG, Jaffe JS, Schulman ES, Raible DG (1997) A highly sensitive fluorescent micro-assay of  $H_2O_2$  release from activated human leukocytes using a dihydroxyphenoxazine derivative. J Immunol Meth 202:133-41.
- Paniker NV, Srivastava SK, Beutler E (1970) Glutathione metabolism of the red cells. Effect of glutathione reductase deficiency on the stimulation of hexose monophosphate shunt under oxidative stress. Biochim Biophys Acta 215:456-60.
- Piwonski HM, Goomanovsky M, Bensimon D, Horovitz A, Haran G (2012) Allosteric inhibition of individual enzyme molecules trapped in lipid vesicles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:E1437-43.
- Robb EL, Hall AR, Prime TA, Eaton S, Szibor M, Viscomi C, James AM, Murphy MP (2018) Control of mitochondrial superoxide production by reverse electron transport at complex I. J Biol Chem 293:9869-79.
- Scandurra FM, Gnaiger E (2010) Cell respiration under hypoxia: facts and artefacts in mitochondrial oxygen kinetics. Adv Exp Med Biol 662:7-25.
- Sies H (1997) Oxidative stress: oxidants and antioxidants. Exp Physiol 82:291-5.
- Sies H, Jones DP (2020) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as pleiotropic physiological signalling agents. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 21:363-83.




- Skulachev VP (1996) Role of uncoupled and non-coupled oxidations in maintenance of safely low levels of oxygen and its one-electron reductants. Q Rev Biophys 29:169-202.
- Smith KA, Waypa GB, Schumacker PT (2017) Redox signaling during hypoxia in mammalian cells. Redox Biol 13:228-34.
- Stepanova A, Kahl A, Konrad C, Ten V, Starkov AS, Galkin A (2017) Reverse electron transfer results in a loss of flavin from mitochondrial complex I: Potential mechanism for brain ischemia-reperfusion injury. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 37:3649-58.
- Stepanova A, Konrad C, Guerrero-Castillo S, Manfredi G, Vannucci S, Arnold S, Galkin A (2018a) Deactivation of mitochondrial complex I after hypoxia-ischemia in the immature brain. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 39:1790-802.
- Stepanova A, Konrad C, Manfredi G, Springett R, Ten V, Galkin A (2018b) The dependence of brain mitochondria reactive oxygen species production on oxygen level is linear, except when inhibited by antimycin A. J Neurochem 148:731-45.
- Stepanova A, Galkin A (2020) Measurement of mitochondrial H2O2 production under varying O2 tensions. Methods Cell Biol 155:273-93.
- Szibor M, Schreckenberg R, Gizatullina Z, Dufour E, Wiesnet M, Dhandapani PK, Debska-Vielhaber G, Heidler J, Wittig I, Nyman TA, Gaertner U, Hall AR, Pell V, Viscomi C, Krieg T, Murphy MP, Braun T, Gellerich FN, Schlueter KD, Jacobs HT (2020) Respiratory chain signalling is essential for adaptive remodelling following cardiac ischaemia. J Cell Mol Med 24:3534-48.
- Tretter L, Ambrus A (2014) Measurement of ROS homeostasis in isolated mitochondria. Methods Enzymol 547:199-223.
- Verkhovsky MI, Morgan JE, Puustein A, Wikström M (1996) Kinetic trapping of oxygen in cell respiration. Nature 380:268-70.
- Votyakova TV, Reynolds IJ (2004) Detection of hydrogen peroxide with Amplex Red: interference by NADH and reduced glutathione auto-oxidation. Arch Biochem Biophys 431:138-44.
- Waypa GB, Chandel NS, Schumacker PT (2001) Model for Hypoxic Pulmonary Vasoconstriction Involving Mitochondrial Oxygen Sensing. Circ Res 88:1259–1266.
- Xiao W, Loscalzo J (2020) Metabolic responses to reductive stress. Antioxid Redox Signal 32:1330-47.
- Zhao B, Ranguelova K, Jiang J, Mason RP (2011) Studies on the photosensitized reduction of resorufin and implications for the detection of oxidative stress with Amplex Red. Free Radic Biol Med 51:153-9.
- Zhao B, Summers FA, Mason RP (2012) Photooxidation of Amplex Red to resorufin: implications of exposing the Amplex Red assay to light. Free Radical Biol Med 51: 153–9.
- Zhou M, Diwu Z, Panchuk-Voloshina N, Haugland RP (1997) A stable nonfluorescent derivative of resorufin for the fluorometric determination of trace hydrogen peroxide: applications in detecting the activity of phagocyte NADPH oxidase and other oxidases. Anal Biochem 253:162-8.
- **Copyright:** © 2021 The authors. This is an Open Access preprint (not peer-reviewed) distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited. © remains with the authors, who have granted MitoFit Preprints an Open Access publication license in perpetuity.





# **Supplement**

Respiration of freeze-dried yeast suspended in 50 mM Na-phosphate buffer was stimulated by extracellular glucose (Figure S1a). Subsequently, respiration further doubled upon stimulation by ethanol and uncoupler titrations (not shown). These additions exerted a minor effect on extracellular  $H_2O_2$  production, which was a linear function of environmental oxygen concentration in the hypoxic to hyperoxic range (Figure S1b and c). The biphasic oxygen dependence of  $H_2O_2$  flux obtained in Naphosphate buffer was comparable with results in MiR05 (Figure 7).



[pmol·s·¹·mL·¹]. **(c)** Mass-specific  $O_2$  flux [pmol·s·¹·mg·¹] from panel **a** in the first reoxygenation cycle ( $R_1$ ), and biphasic oxygen dependence of mass-specific  $H_2O_2$  flux [pmol·s·¹·mg·¹] from panel **b** in the first, second and third reoxygenation cycles in the ROUTINE state ( $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ ), after glucose (20 mM) addition in the third reoxygenation cycle ( $R_3$ Glc), after ethanol (20  $\mu$ L/mL) addition in the third ( $R_3$ Et) and fourth ( $R_4$ Et) reoxygenation, after uncoupler titration (2.5 to 30  $\mu$ M carbonyl cyanide p-trifluoromethoxyphenyl hydrazone FCCP) in the sixth ( $E_6$ ) and seventh reoxygenation ( $E_7$ ). Experiment 2012-03-20\_EF-02A.